

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,008	10/09/2003	David W. Nelms	252096 (DSC-13)	7765
23460	7590 08/21/2006		EXAM	INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		3728	
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		XX				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Commons	10/682,008	NELMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Shian T. Luong	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ne 2006					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2,5,11-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3.4,6,7,9 and 10 is/are objected to.	· alaatian namiisassast					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.		•				
	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	·	a iii aiio iialaiia. Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, the preamble is claiming a method of forming a latch. However, applicant fails to define the case with a slot after the "comprising term." Specifically, the term "the slot" lacks proper antecedent basis because applicant has not defined a case with a slot in a periphery of the case. The preamble only defined what the method is for and not specifically requiring a case with a slot. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2,8,11-12,18 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (US 5,983,686). Lee discloses a holder comprising a stop surface on one side of the slot 2 and a latch 16 slidably attached on a second side of the slot. The second side being opposed to the first side. The latch has main section that is the section connected to extension 17. A tail section is the section below element 17 and the spring 6. a flange 7 receives the tail section. The tail section with the spring provides a spring function that biases the extension of the latch to contact with the stop surface.

Applicant argues that the tail section does not have a spring function. However, the

Art Unit: 3728

rejection specifically defines the tail section as the combination of element 6 and the section below element 17. As a tail section, it provides the spring function to allow the latch to engage the stop surface.

4. Claims 8 and 18 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lee. The case as shown in the various embodiments in Lee encases items such as a pen that is considered a credit card device. Hence, it would have been obvious to encase a pen in the channel shown in Figure 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 and 15 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the case and latch out of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPO 416.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3,4,6,7,9,10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

Application/Control Number: 10/682,008

Art Unit: 3728

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 13,14,16,17,19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Conclusion**

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08.

Art Unit: 3728

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Erica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

For applicant's convenience, the official FAX number is 571-273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify Examiner <u>Luong</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4557. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 7:00am to 4:00pm EST. The examiner's supervisor Mickey Yu can be reached at (571) 272-4562 for urgent matters.

STL August 16, 2006 Primary Examiner Shian Luong Art Unit 3728